



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,600	12/26/2000	Karl-Heinz Knobl	5029-29	4243
75	90 03/09/2006	EXAMINER		
_	ITANI, LIEBERMAN	SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D		
551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210 New York, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
09/748,600	KNOBL, KARL-HEINZ	KNOBL, KARL-HEINZ	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dominic D. Saltarelli	2611		

		Dominic D. Saltarelli	2611	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 10 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
tl p (;	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or one application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comploil of time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) [2 b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advice event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
been file CFR 1.1 above, it earned p	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date oned is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
0	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp f filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be DMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3.	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) They raise new issues that would require further co b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
5. 🔲 6. 🔲 tl	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a ne non-allowable claim(s).	I21. See attached Notice of Non-C): Illowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
h C C AFFID	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below or appended.		
b a	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
e s	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to do howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	Silea.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13. 🗌	Other:		2	-
		SUPERVISORY	N MILLER PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 2600	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues against the Klosterman reference by stating "However, the sources, i.e., the IRD box 28 and the cable box 26, can not be considered to be audio/video applicances." (applicant's remarks, page 4, lines 9-10). However, each device listed in Klosterman (col. 3, lines 11-28, see fig. 1B) is a stand alone device that provides audio/visual programming. It is well within reason to consider each source device to be an audio/visual appliance, and as such, Klosterman provides the teaching for transmitting information about available audio/video presentations from audio/video appliances.